

**Late items received by Planning Officers since the agenda was prepared.**

**ITEM 6a W/11/03052/FUL**

A further 8 representations from members of the public have been received;

- Five of these support the proposals, citing reasons outlined on page 30 of the report.
- Three object to the proposals, citing reasons outlined on page 31 of the report.

A letter from the Trowbridge and District Chamber of Commerce has been received relating to both this development and the Innox riverside proposal. The Chamber makes it clear that this kind of development – a cinema and a range of family restaurants – is critical to the future of Trowbridge and is an opportunity that should not be squandered. Members feel that if both applications were to fail it would be a disaster both economically and psychologically for the community, but the Chamber is not in a position to express support for either proposal.

Trowbridge Town Council suggest that condition 20 relating to CCTV be replaced by a condition similar to the one imposed by the Inspector at the Kentucky Fried Chicken appeal. As a result of this suggestion, officers recommend that condition 20 be replaced by the wording set out below:

*No building shall be occupied until a site management plan has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The plan shall include:*

- *full details of a scheme to manage the on-site car park to prevent anti-social behaviour, and measures to ensure its safe use,*
- *management responsibilities for liaison with the crime prevention working group;*
- *details of the provision of CCTV, with details of whether it will be linked to the Trowbridge CCTV system;*
- *measures for the control of litter; and*

*Management of the site shall be carried out in accordance with the agreed management plan.*

*REASON: In the interests of public safety.*

*POLICY: West Wiltshire District Plan 1st Alteration 2004 - POLICY: C38*

A letter from Solicitors acting for the applicants on the Innox Riverside Scheme suggesting that the Council should defer consideration of this application and should consider it at a later date at the same time as the Innox Riverside application has been received. In response, the Council's Solicitor has responded by pointing out that there are no legal grounds for a deferral, as both applications will have to be considered on their own merits and against the relevant planning policy background in each case. This has been supported by a letter from Solicitors acting for the applicants.

Amended plans relating to detailed design matters have been received and the relevant plan numbers in condition 31 relating to the approved plans will need to be changed to reflect these.

For the avoidance of doubt, Officers also recommend that conditions 2,3,16,23,29 and 30 have the same caveat added as appears in condition 10 – making it clear that on site tree and ecology related works, such as vegetation clearance, can take place before development commences – as this work does not require planning permission

**Items 6b – Melksham; 6c Bratton and 6d Bradford on Avon – no late items have been received**